

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Order

The 31st December, 1982

No. ID/RTK/172/82/57968.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mohmad Hadish and the management of M/s S.S. Thread Mills, 30/8, Modern Industrial Estate, Bahadurgarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Mohmad Hadish was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/CGN/114/82/58024.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Satya Parkash and the management of M/s Maruti Udyog Ltd., Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Satya Parkash was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/321/82/58045.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Banwari Lal and the management of the M/s R. S. Engineering Company, 208/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Banwari Lal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The 9th February, 1983

No. ID/FD/271/82/5114.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mul Chand and the management of M/s Eicher Goodearth Ltd. 59, Industrial Township, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mul Chand was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?